

Publications mathématiques de Besançon

ALGÈBRE ET THÉORIE DES NOMBRES

Alessio Fiorentino

Weber's formula for the bitangents of a smooth plane quartic

2019/2, p. 5-17.

<http://pmb.cedram.org/item?id=PMB_2019__2_5_0>

© Presses universitaires de Franche-Comté, 2019, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux articles de la revue « Publications mathématiques de Besançon » (<http://pmb.cedram.org/>), implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://pmb.cedram.org/legal/>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

*Publication éditée par le laboratoire de mathématiques
de Besançon, UMR 6623 CNRS/UFC*

cedram

*Article mis en ligne dans le cadre du
Centre de diffusion des revues académiques de mathématiques
<http://www.cedram.org/>*

WEBER'S FORMULA FOR THE BITANGENTS OF A SMOOTH PLANE QUARTIC

by

Alessio Fiorentino

Abstract. — In a section of his 1876 treatise *Theorie der Abel'schen Functionen vom Geschlecht 3* Weber proved a formula that expresses the bitangents of a non-singular plane quartic in terms of Riemann theta constants (*Thetanullwerte*). The present note is devoted to a modern presentation of Weber's formula. In the end a connection with the universal bitangent matrix is also displayed.

Résumé. — (*Formule de Weber pour les bitangentes d'une quartique plane lisse*) Dans une section de son traité *Theorie der Abel'schen Functionen vom Geschlecht 3*, paru en 1876, Weber a démontré une formule qui permet de déterminer les équations des bitangentes d'une quartique plane non singulière à partir des constantes theta de Riemann (*Thetanullwerte*). Le but de cette note est de présenter la formule de Weber en langage moderne. On aussi montre une connexion avec la matrice universelle des bitangentes.

1. Introduction

The problem of characterizing those complex principally polarized abelian varieties of dimension g which are Jacobian varieties of smooth projective curves of genus g is a long-standing research subject that dates back to Riemann and Schottky. In fact, the question can be simply answered whenever $g = 3$, as in this case every indecomposable principally polarized abelian variety is known to be the Jacobian variety of an irreducible smooth projective curve (uniquely determined up to isomorphisms). A naturally related question is how to explicitly recover the curve from a given principally polarized abelian variety. A solution to this problem is classically known for non-hyperelliptic curves of genus 3. One of the first mathematicians who succeeded in establishing a link between the geometry of the curve and the algebraic structure defined by its period matrix was Heinrich Martin Weber. In his work [16] he actually provided both a formula for recovering the bitangents of the curve from its period matrix and a reverse formula for recovering the fourth powers of theta constants (*Thetanullwerte*), valued

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. — 14H42, 14H45, 14K25.

Key words and phrases. — Plane quartics, theta functions, bitangents.

Acknowledgements. — This work was supported by the Centre Henri Lebesgue (Programme PIA- ANR-11-LABX-0020-01).

at the point corresponding to the period matrix of the curve, from its bitangents (a detailed explanation of the latter formula, along with a modern proof of it, can be found in [14]). Once the bitangents have been expressed in terms of theta constants thanks to Weber's formula, an equation for the curve itself can be written by resorting to the Riemann model of the curve associated with Steiner complexes of bitangents (cf. [10] and [16]). What this note aims to do is prove Weber's formula for the bitangents of the curve from a modern point of view.

Acknowledgments. — The author wishes to thank Christophe Ritzenthaler for bringing Weber's work to his attention as well as for all the enlightening discussions. He is also grateful to Riccardo Salvati Manni for several fruitful conversations on the subject. Finally, the author would like to express his gratitude to the referee whose remarks and comments contributed to improving the original manuscript.

2. Quadratic forms on symplectic vector spaces over F_2

This brief section is devoted to outlining some of the basic elements concerning the theory of quadratic forms over the finite field F_2 and is motivated by the need for a coordinate-free presentation of Weber's formula; a more detailed explanation of the subject can be found in Dolgachev's book [4] and in Gross and Harris's paper [8].

Let $g \geq 1$ be an integer and V a vector space of dimension $2g$ over F_2 provided with a symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. A *quadratic form* q on the symplectic vector space $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a map $q : V \rightarrow F_2$ such that:

$$q(v + w) = q(v) + q(w) + \langle v, w \rangle \quad v, w \in V;$$

There are 2^{2g} distinct quadratic forms on $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. Any pair of quadratic forms $q, q' \in Q(V)$ is easily seen to satisfy $q' - q = \alpha^2$ where α is a linear form on V ¹; therefore, for any $q, q' \in Q(V)$ there exists a unique $v \in V$ such that:

$$(1) \quad q'(w) = q(w) + \langle v, w \rangle \quad w \in V;$$

Thanks to (1), a free and transitive action of V on $Q(V)$ is well defined by setting $v + q := q'$, hence the set $Q(V)$ is an affine space over V , which means it can be identified with V whenever a quadratic form is fixed as origin. Furthermore, the disjoint union $V \sqcup Q(V)$ can be thought as a vector space of dimension $2g + 1$ over F_2 . Once a symplectic basis $e_1, \dots, e_g, f_1, \dots, f_g$ is chosen for V , a quadratic form q_0 is naturally defined as origin for the affine space $Q(V)$:

$$(2) \quad q_0(w) := \lambda \cdot \mu = \lambda_1 \mu_1 + \dots + \lambda_g \mu_g \quad w = (\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^g \lambda_i e_i + \sum_{i=1}^g \mu_i f_i$$

Then, by (1), each $q \in Q(V)$ can be identified with the unique column vector $v = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ \mu \end{bmatrix}$ such that:

$$(3) \quad q(w) = \lambda \cdot \mu + \lambda \cdot m + m \cdot \mu \quad w = (\lambda, \mu)$$

Furthermore, since the subgroup of $GL(V)$ that preserves the symplectic form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is isomorphic to $SP(2g, F_2)$, an action of $SP(2g, F_2)$ on the affine space $Q(V)$ is well defined by

¹Such a linear form is well defined, as each element of F_2 has exactly one square root which actually coincides with the element itself.

setting $\gamma \cdot q(v) := q(\gamma^{-1}v)$ for any $v \in V$. The orbits of $Q(V)$ under this action are described in terms of the *Arf invariant* of a quadratic form:

$$a(q) := \sum_{i=1}^g q(e_i)q(f_i) \quad q \in Q(V);$$

which does not depend on the choice of the symplectic basis. Then, $Q(V)$ is seen to decompose into two orbits: the set $Q(V)_+$ of *even* quadratic forms, namely those whose Arf invariant is equal to 0 (the cardinality of this orbit is equal to $2^{g-1}(2^g + 1)$) and the set $Q(V)_-$ of *odd* quadratic forms, namely those whose Arf invariant is equal to 1 (the cardinality of this orbit is equal to $2^{g-1}(2^g - 1)$). A straightforward computation shows that the quadratic form q_0 defined in (2) is even and that $a(q) = m \cdot m$ for any quadratic form q whose coordinates with respect to q_0 are $\begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$, as in (3).

Remarkable orbits of non-ordered collections of quadratic forms are also characterized in terms of the Arf invariant; in particular, a triple $q_1, q_2, q_3 \in Q(V)$ is called *syzygetic* (resp. *azygetic*) if $a(q_1) + a(q_2) + a(q_3) + a(q_1 + q_2 + q_3) = 0$ (resp. $= 1$). Likewise, a collection of quadratic forms $q_1, \dots, q_n \in Q(V)$ with $n \geq 4$ is called syzygetic (resp. azygetic) if each sub-triple $\{q_i, q_j, q_k\} \subset \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ is syzygetic (resp. azygetic).

Notable azygetic collections of quadratic forms are the so-called Aronhold systems. An *Aronhold system* is a collection of $2g + 1$ quadratic forms $q_1, \dots, q_{2g+1} \in Q(V)$ which is a basis for the vector space $V \subset Q(V)$ and such that for any $q = \sum_{i=1}^{2g+1} \lambda_i q_i \in Q(V)$ the following expression holds:

$$(4) \quad a(q) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2g+1} \lambda_i - 1 \right) + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{if } g \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

Note that (4) implies that any sub-triple of quadratic forms of an Aronhold system is actually azygetic.

Aronhold systems exist and the action of $\text{SP}(2g, F_2)$ on them is transitive. Moreover, any ordered Aronhold system (q_1, \dots, q_{2g+1}) corresponds to a vector basis (v_1, \dots, v_{2g}) for V where $v_i, v_j = 1$ for any $i = j$, with the vectors v_i being such that $q_i = v_i + q_{2g+1}$.

3. Theta characteristics and quadratic forms

This section is intended to recall the link between the above mentioned algebraic settings and the geometry of the projective curves. Classical references for this subject are [1] and [7]. We will also follow the exposition outlined in [9] and [14].

Let C be a smooth complex non-hyperelliptic curve of genus g canonically embedded in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} by means of a basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g$ of the cohomology space $H^0(C, \mathcal{O}(1))$, and let \mathfrak{S}_g denote the Siegel upper-half space of degree g , namely the tube domain of complex symmetric $g \times g$ matrices with positive definite imaginary part. Once a symplectic basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_g, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_g$ of the homology space $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z})$ is chosen, the $g \times 2g$ period matrix of the curve $(\int_{\delta_j} \omega_i, \int_{\delta_j} \omega_i)$ defines a lattice in \mathbb{C}^g and consequently a complex torus whose isomorphism class has a representative of the form $J_C := \mathbb{C}^g / (\mathbb{Z}^g + \tau \mathbb{Z}^g)$ with $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$. This complex torus is known as the Jacobian variety of the curve C and is a principally polarized abelian variety, whose set of 2-torsion points $J_C[2]$ can be clearly identified with the set of the representatives $\frac{1}{2}(h + \tau \cdot k)$ with $h, k \in \mathbb{Z}_2^g$. Hence, $J_C[2]$ admits a vector space structure over \mathbb{Z}_2 and is furthermore

endowed with a symplectic form given by the Weyl pairing. The affine space $Q(J_C[2])$ of the quadratic forms on $J_C[2]$ can be therefore identified with $Z_2^g \times Z_2^g$, once a quadratic form is fixed as origin. This affine space is strictly related to the geometry of the curve, since its points can be identified with the so-called theta characteristics. A *theta characteristic* on C is a divisor D such that $2D \sim K_C$ where K_C is the canonical divisor of the curve. Once a point $P_0 \in C$ is fixed, the well known Abel–Jacobi map $\phi_{P_0} : \text{Div}(C) \rightarrow J_C$ is defined on the group $\text{Div}(C)$ of the equivalence classes of divisors; since $p = \phi_{P_0}(D - D_0) \in J_C[2]$ whenever the divisors D and D_0 are theta characteristics, a free transitive action of the vector space $J_C[2]$ is well defined on the set of theta characteristics as well, by setting $D + p := D_0$. Then, for any theta characteristic D a quadratic form in $Q(J_C[2])$ is uniquely defined by setting:

$$q_D(p) := [\dim \mathfrak{L}(D + p) + \dim \mathfrak{L}(D)] \bmod 2$$

where $\mathfrak{L}(D)$ and $\mathfrak{L}(D + p)$ are the Riemann–Roch spaces respectively associated with the divisors D and $D + p$. This gives a bijection between the set of theta characteristics and $Q(J_C[2])$. The theta characteristics can be therefore identified with the vectors in $Z_2^g \times Z_2^g$ as long as a theta characteristic D_0 is fixed; a canonical choice for such a D_0 is suggested by Riemann's theorem on the geometry of the theta divisor, as we will briefly recall.

A *Riemann theta function* of level 2 with characteristic $m = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ m \end{pmatrix}$, where $m, m \in Z^g$ is a holomorphic function $\theta_m : \mathfrak{S}_g \times C^g \rightarrow C$ defined by the series:

$$\theta_m(\tau, z) := \sum_n \mathbf{e} \left[t \left(n + \frac{m}{2} \right) \cdot \tau \cdot \left(n + \frac{m}{2} \right) + 2 \left(n + \frac{m}{2} \right) \cdot \left(z + \frac{m}{2} \right) \right]$$

where $\mathbf{e}(z) := \exp(\pi i z)$ and the symbol \cdot stands for the usual inner product. As a consequence of the *reduction formula*:

$$(5) \quad \theta_{m+2n}(\tau, z) = (-1)^{m \cdot n} \theta_m(\tau, z) \quad m = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ m \end{pmatrix}, \quad n = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ n \end{pmatrix}$$

these functions are uniquely determined up to a sign by the so-called reduced characteristics $[m] := \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ with $m, m \in Z_2^g$. The *theta constant* (*Thetanullwert*) with characteristic m is the function defined by setting $\theta_m(\tau) := \theta_m(\tau, 0)$. Riemann theta functions with characteristics satisfy the classical *addition formula* (cf. [11] for a general formulation in terms of real characteristics):

$$(6) \quad \theta_{m_1}(\tau, u + v) \theta_{m_2}(\tau, u - v) \theta_{m_3}(\tau) \theta_{m_4}(\tau) \\ = 1/2^g \sum_{[a] \in Z^{2g}/2Z^{2g}} \mathbf{e}(m_1 \cdot a) \theta_{n_1+a}(\tau, u) \theta_{n_2+a}(\tau, u) \theta_{n_3+a}(\tau, v) \theta_{n_4+a}(\tau, v)$$

where the sum runs over a set of representatives for $Z^{2g}/2Z^{2g}$ and $\{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4\}$ and $\{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4\}$ are any two collections of four characteristics that satisfy the following identity:

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) = \frac{1}{2} (m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

For a given $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_g$ the zero locus $\{z \in C^g / \theta_0(\tau, z) = 0\}$ turns out to be invariant under shift by elements of the lattice $Z^g + \tau Z^g$ and therefore defines a divisor on the Jacobian variety J_C identified with τ . Such a divisor is known as the *theta divisor*, and the Chern class of the

corresponding holomorphic line bundle gives a principal polarization on J_C . The following classical theorem holds:

Theorem 3.1 (Riemann's theorem). — *There exists a theta characteristic D_0 on the curve C such that:*

$$W_{g-1} = \quad + D_0$$

where $W_{g-1} := \{D \mid \text{Div}(C) / \deg(D) = g - 1, \dim \mathfrak{L}(D) > 0\}$. Furthermore, $\dim \mathfrak{L}(D_0)$ is even, and $\text{mult}_p(\quad) = \dim \mathfrak{L}(D_0 + p)$ for any $p \in J_C[2]$

If such a theta characteristic D_0 is fixed, a quadratic form q_0 in $Q(J_C[2])$ is fixed as well; then, any theta characteristic on the curve is of the form $D_0 + v$ with $v = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ and $m, m \in \mathbb{Z}_2^g$ and the corresponding quadratic form is $q_0 + v$. A Riemann theta function $\theta_{[m]}$ with reduced characteristic $[m] = \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ can be therefore regarded as a function $\theta[q]$ associated with the quadratic form $q = q_0 + \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$. The function $z \mapsto \theta[q](\tau, z)$ is even (resp. odd) whenever q is even (resp. odd), hence the theta constant $\theta[q]$ is non-trivial if and only if q is even; furthermore, for any $q = q_0 + \begin{bmatrix} m \\ m \end{bmatrix}$ and for any $(k, h) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^g \times \mathbb{Z}_2^g$ the following transformation law holds (cf. [15]):

$$\theta[q] \left(\tau, z + \frac{1}{2}h + \frac{1}{2}\tau \cdot k \right) = e \left(-\frac{1}{2}k \cdot (m + h) - k \cdot z - \frac{1}{4}{}^t k \cdot \tau \cdot k \right) \theta[q + \begin{bmatrix} k \\ h \end{bmatrix}](\tau, z)$$

Thanks to this formula the zero locus of any Riemann theta function $\theta[q]$ also defines a divisor $[q]$ on J_C and $\text{mult}_0([q + v]) = \text{mult}_v(\quad)$ for any $v \in J_C[2]$. Riemann's theorem thus implies that the effective theta divisors are those associated with odd quadratic forms. Whenever $q \in Q(J_C[2])_-$ is an odd quadratic form whose associated theta characteristic D_q satisfies the condition $\dim \mathfrak{L}(D_q) = 1$, the divisor D_q is of the type $P_1 + \dots + P_{g-1}$ and is actually the divisor that is cut on the canonical curve by a hyperplane tangent at the image points of P_1, \dots, P_{g-1} in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} under the canonical map; the direction of such a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^{g-1} is then given by the gradient of the corresponding Riemann theta function valued at $z = 0$:

$$\text{grad}_z^0 \theta[q](\tau) := \left(\frac{\partial \theta[q]}{\partial z_1}(\tau, 0), \dots, \frac{\partial \theta[q]}{\partial z_g}(\tau, 0) \right)$$

which is non-trivial if and only if q is odd. The Jacobian determinant of g Riemann theta functions valued at $z = 0$ will be henceforward denoted by:

$$(7) \quad D[q_1, \dots, q_g](\tau) := (\text{grad}_z^0 \theta[q_1] \quad \dots \quad \text{grad}_z^0 \theta[q_g])(\tau)$$

The algebraic link between theta constants and Jacobian determinants is displayed by Igusa's conjectural formula (cf. [12]), which has been proved up to the case $g = 5$ (cf. [5] and [6]). In the next section we shall resort to a coordinate-free version of the formula for ratios of determinants with explicit signs, which can be derived from the addition formula.

4. Bitangents of a plane quartic: Weber's formula

For the rest of the paper we will be only concerned with the $g = 3$ case. The canonical model of a non-singular curve C of genus 3 is a smooth plane quartic. Since any theta characteristic D such that $\dim \mathfrak{L}(D) > 0$ is necessarily of the type $P_1 + P_2$, then $\dim \mathfrak{L}(D) = 1$ and the geometrical link recalled in the previous section holds. Therefore, the curve has 28 bitangents

that are in bijection with the 28 odd quadratic forms on $J_C[2]$ and there exist homogeneous coordinates $(Z_1 : Z_2 : Z_3)$ in \mathbb{P}^2 such that the equations of the 28 bitangents are:

$$(8) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta[q]}{\partial Z_i}(\tau, 0) Z_i = 0, \quad q \in Q(J_C[2])$$

In this case, an Aronhold system is a collection of seven odd quadratic forms q_1, \dots, q_7 such that each sub-triple $\{q_i, q_j, q_k\} \subset \{q_1, \dots, q_7\}$ is azygetic, which means $q_i + q_j + q_k$ is even; there exist exactly 288 distinct Aronhold systems when $g = 3$. Once an Aronhold system is fixed, the remaining 21 odd quadratic forms can be simply described in terms of it as follows:

$$(9) \quad q_{ij} := q_S + q_i + q_j \quad i = j$$

where $q_S := \sum_{i=1}^7 q_i$ is an even quadratic form. The other 35 even quadratic forms different from q_S are easily seen to be described in terms of the Aronhold system as follows:

$$(10) \quad q_{ijk} := q_i + q_j + q_k \quad i, j, k \text{ distinct.}$$

An Aronhold system of bitangents for the plane quartic is then a collection of seven bitangents associated with an Aronhold system of quadratic forms; this geometrically translates into the condition that for any collection of three bitangents out of the seven, the six corresponding points of tangency on the quartic do not lie in the same conic. The datum of an Aronhold system is enough to recover an equation for the plane quartic along with equations for the remaining 21 bitangents; this is basically done by means of the Steiner complexes of bitangents determined by the sub-collections of six bitangents in the Aronhold system. We will only recall here the main features of the method of reconstruction with a particular focus on the Riemann model of the curve (cf. [16] for details and [4] for a modern exposition of the subject).

The following statement holds:

Proposition 4.1. — *Let q be a non-null quadratic form on $J_C[2]$ and let $\{q_1, q_1\}$, $\{q_2, q_2\}$ and $\{q_3, q_3\}$ be three pairs of odd quadratic forms on $J_C[2]$ such that $q_i + q_i = q$ for any $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then, for any two of these pairs there exists a conic that passes through the eight points of tangency; in particular, an equation for the quartic is given by:*

$$(11) \quad 4f_1\xi_1 f_2\xi_2 - (f_1\xi_1 + f_2\xi_2 + f_3\xi_3)^2 = 0$$

or, in Weber's notation:

$$\sqrt{f_1\xi_1} + \sqrt{f_2\xi_2} + \sqrt{f_3\xi_3} = 0$$

where $\{f_i, \xi_i\}$ is a suitable pair of linear forms associated with the bitangents corresponding to the pair $\{q_i, q_i\}$.

As any subtriple q_i, q_j, q_k of an Aronhold system is an azygetic triple, it can be completed to three pairs $\{q_i, q_i\}$, $\{q_j, q_j\}$ and $\{q_k, q_k\}$ such as in the statement of Proposition 4.1. Thus, any three bitangents in an Aronhold system cannot intersect at a same point, because such a point would be a singular point of the curve by (11), while the curve is smooth; this proves the following:

Corollary 4.2. — *Up to a projective transformation, an Aronhold system of bitangents for the quartic is given by the following equations in \mathbb{P}^2 :*

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta_1 : X_1 &= 0 & \beta_5 : a_{11}X_1 + a_{12}X_2 + a_{13}X_3 &= 0 \\ \beta_2 : X_2 &= 0 & \beta_6 : a_{21}X_1 + a_{22}X_2 + a_{23}X_3 &= 0 \\ \beta_3 : X_3 &= 0 & \beta_7 : a_{31}X_1 + a_{32}X_2 + a_{33}X_3 &= 0 \\ \beta_4 : X_1 + X_2 + X_3 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for suitable $(a_{i1} : a_{i2} : a_{i3}) \in \mathbb{P}^2$.

A proof of the following classical result will be omitted here, as it can be found in [16]:

Proposition 4.3 (Riemann's model). — *Let β_1, \dots, β_7 an Aronhold system of bitangents for the curve as in (12) and q_1, \dots, q_7 the corresponding quadratic forms. The three pairs $\{q_1, q_{23}\}$, $\{q_2, q_{13}\}$ and $\{q_3, q_{12}\}$ (cf. (9)) are such as in the statement of Proposition 4.1, and an equation for the curve is given by:*

$$4X_1\xi_{23}X_2\xi_{13} = (X_1\xi_{23} + X_2\xi_{13} + X_3\xi_{12})^2$$

where ξ_{ij} are linear forms associated with the bitangents corresponding to q_{ij} and determined by the linear system:

$$(13) \quad \begin{cases} \xi_{23} + \xi_{13} + \xi_{12} + X_1 + X_2 + X_3 = 0; \\ \frac{\xi_{23}}{a_{i1}} + \frac{\xi_{13}}{a_{i2}} + \frac{\xi_{12}}{a_{i3}} + k_i(a_{i1}X_1 + a_{i2}X_2 + a_{i3}X_3) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{cases}$$

with $k_1, k_2, k_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ unique solution of the linear system:

$$(14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 a_{11} & \lambda_2 a_{21} & \lambda_3 a_{31} \\ \lambda_1 a_{12} & \lambda_2 a_{22} & \lambda_3 a_{32} \\ \lambda_1 a_{13} & \lambda_2 a_{23} & \lambda_3 a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_2 \\ k_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{a_{11}} & \frac{1}{a_{21}} & \frac{1}{a_{31}} \\ \frac{1}{a_{12}} & \frac{1}{a_{22}} & \frac{1}{a_{32}} \\ \frac{1}{a_{13}} & \frac{1}{a_{23}} & \frac{1}{a_{33}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Note that the curve is known to be uniquely determined by its bitangents as a consequence of the results proved by Caporaso and Sernesi (cf. [2]) and by Lehavi (cf. [13]).

As the curve C is fixed, for the sake of simplicity we shall omit the symbol of the variable τ in the expressions of theta functions and theta constants throughout the rest of this section. Furthermore, by a slight abuse of notation we shall denote by $(q) = (q, q)$ the non-reduced characteristic that corresponds to the coordinates of the quadratic form q with respect to the fixed quadratic form q_0 corresponding to the theta characteristic D_0 appearing in Riemann's theorem, and by $(\sum_i q_i)$ the non-reduced characteristic $\sum_i(q_i)$. To prove Weber's formula we need the following Proposition first.

Proposition 4.4. — *Let $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\}$ any azygetic 4-tuple of odd quadratic forms, and let $\{q_5, q_6, q_7\}$ one of the two distinct triples which complete the 4-tuple to an Aronhold system $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6, q_7\}$. Then:*

$$\frac{D[q_4, q_2, q_3]}{D[q_1, q_2, q_3]} = -e((q_5 + q_6 + q_7) \cdot (q_1 + q_4)) \frac{\theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_1)\theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_1)\theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_1)}{\theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_4)\theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_4)\theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_4)}$$

where $D[q_i, q_j, q_k]$ are the Jacobian determinants of the corresponding Riemann theta functions with reduced characteristics valued at $z = 0$, as in (7).

Proof. — If we set $u = 0$ in the formula (6) and choose $n_1 = (q_5 + q_6)$, $n_2 = (q_5 + q_7)$, $n_3 = (q_6 + q_7)$ and $n_4 = 0$, we get for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$:

$$0 = \sum_{q \in Q(J_C[2])} \chi(q) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q)(z) \theta(q)(z)$$

where $\chi(q) := e((q_5 + q_6 + q_7) \cdot q)$. The right side of the identity is the sum of two terms S_- and S_+ , obtained by letting q run respectively over $Q(J_C[2])_-$ and over $Q(J_C[2])_+$. Thanks to the labelling introduced in (9) for the elements of $Q(J_C[2])_-$ one easily derives $S_- = S_-^{(4)} + S_-^{(6)}$, where:

$$S_-^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^4 \chi(q_i) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_i) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_i) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_i)(z) \theta[q_i](z)$$

$$S_-^{(6)} = \sum_{\substack{j,k \in \{1,2,3,4\} \\ \text{s.t. } j < k}} \chi(q_{jk}) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_{jk}) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_{jk}) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_{jk})(z) \theta[q_{jk}](z)$$

As for S_+ , the labelling introduced in (10) for the elements of $Q(J_C[2])_+$ shows that $S_+ = S_+^{(4)} + S_+^{(6)}$ where $S_+^{(4)}$ is the term given by summing on the four quadratic forms q_{i67} with $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, while $S_+^{(6)}$ is the term given by summing on the six quadratic forms q_{5jk} with $j, k \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $j < k$. A straightforward computation with the reduction formula shows that $S_+^{(6)}$ and $S_-^{(6)}$ cancel out, whereas:

$$S_+^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^4 e(a(q_6) + a(q_7)) \chi(q_i) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_i) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_i) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_i)(z) \theta[q_i](z) = S_-^{(4)}$$

Therefore, one finally obtains the following identity for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^g$:

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=1}^4 \chi(q_k) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_k) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_k) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_k)(z) \theta[q_k](z) = 0$$

By taking the derivative with respect to each z_j for $j = 1, 2, 3$ and evaluating the resulting expression at $z = 0$ one obtains:

$$\sum_{k=1}^4 \chi(q_k) \theta(q_5 + q_6 + q_k) \theta(q_5 + q_7 + q_k) \theta(q_6 + q_7 + q_k) \frac{\partial \theta[q_k]}{\partial z_j} \Big|_{z=0} = 0 \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

from which the statement clearly follows.

Note that (15) is actually a version with explicit signs of an identity of the type described in [15, Theorem 17, II, p. 51] (it is the one induced by the azygetic 4-tuple corresponding to $\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4\}$ once the variable is shifted by the half-period $\frac{1}{2}v + \frac{1}{2}\tau \cdot v$ where (v, v) is a representative for $q_5 + q_7$).

We can now state the main theorem of this note:

Theorem 4.5 (Weber's formula). — Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_3$ the period matrix of a smooth plane quartic C . If q_1, \dots, q_7 is an Aronhold system of quadratic forms on the 2-torsion points of the Jacobian variety $C^3/(Z^3 + \tau Z^3)$, then for the coefficients in (12) one has:

$$a_{ij} = \eta_i \mathbf{e}(q_j \cdot (q_4 + q_{4+i})) \frac{\theta(q_4 + q_r + q_j)\theta(q_4 + q_s + q_j)}{\theta(q_{4+i} + q_r + q_j)\theta(q_{4+i} + q_s + q_j)} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

where r and s are such that $\{4+i, r, s\} = \{5, 6, 7\}$ and η_i is a non-zero scalar factor that only depends on the index i , which is due to the fact that the equations for β_5, β_6 and β_7 in (12) are defined up to a scalar.

Remark 4.6. — The reduction formula (5) can be used in Weber's formula to express the coefficients of the bitangents in terms of reduced characteristics. In this case one has:

$$a_{ij} = \rho_{ij} \cdot \eta_i \mathbf{e}(q_j \cdot (q_4 + q_{4+i})) \frac{\theta[q_4 + q_r + q_j]\theta[q_4 + q_s + q_j]}{\theta[q_{4+i} + q_r + q_j]\theta[q_{4+i} + q_s + q_j]} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

where, for any i and j , ρ_{ij} is the product of the reduction signs (cf. (5)) of the four theta constants appearing in the expression of a_{ij} .

Proof of Weber's formula. — Let $f_i = f_i(X_1, X_2, X_3)$ be linear forms associated with the bitangent β_i for any $i = 1, \dots, 7$; the equations (12) yield the following linear system for the f_i :

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} f_4 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3; \\ f_5 = a_{11}f_1 + a_{12}f_2 + a_{13}f_3 \\ f_6 = a_{21}f_1 + a_{22}f_2 + a_{23}f_3 \\ f_7 = a_{31}f_1 + a_{32}f_2 + a_{33}f_3 \end{cases}$$

By (8), there also exists a projective transformation $\varphi : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ such that:

$$f_i(X_1, X_2, X_3) = h_i \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta[q_i]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} \varphi_j(X_1, X_2, X_3) \quad i = 1, \dots, 7$$

with suitable coefficients $h_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus, each equation in (16) yields linear systems in the variables h_i and a_{ij} :

$$(17) \quad h_4 \frac{\partial \theta[q_4]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{i=1}^3 h_i \frac{\partial \theta[q_i]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$(18) \quad h_{4+i} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{4+i}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} = \sum_{l=1}^3 a_{il} h_l \frac{\partial \theta[q_l]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} \quad j = 1, 2, 3 \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

From (17) one has:

$$h_1 = \frac{D[q_4, q_2, q_3]}{D[q_1, q_2, q_3]} h_4; \quad h_2 = \frac{D[q_1, q_4, q_3]}{D[q_1, q_2, q_3]} h_4; \quad h_3 = \frac{D[q_1, q_2, q_4]}{D[q_1, q_2, q_3]} h_4;$$

By replacing these solutions into (18), one gets the coefficients for β_{4+i} for $i = 1, 2, 3$:

$$(19) \quad a_{i1} = \mu_i \frac{D[q_{4+i}, q_2, q_3]}{D[q_4, q_2, q_3]}; \quad a_{i2} = \mu_i \frac{D[q_1, q_{4+i}, q_3]}{D[q_1, q_4, q_3]}; \quad a_{i3} = \mu_i \frac{D[q_1, q_2, q_{4+i}]}{D[q_1, q_2, q_4]};$$

where $\mu_i := h_{4+i}/h_4 \in \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, the bitangents β_{4+i} are uniquely determined as points in \mathbb{P}^2 by duality. By repeating the same procedure as before with equations (13), one obtains for suitable coefficients $h_{23}, h_{13}, h_{12} \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$h_4 \frac{\partial \theta[q_4]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} = h_{23} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{23}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} + h_{13} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{13}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} + h_{12} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{12}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0}$$

$$k_i h_{4+i} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{4+i}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} = \frac{h_{23}}{a_{i1}} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{23}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} + \frac{h_{13}}{a_{i2}} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{13}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} + \frac{h_{12}}{a_{i3}} \frac{\partial \theta[q_{12}]}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0}$$

with $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. By solving these linear systems, one has likewise:

$$\frac{1}{a_{i1}} = k_i \mu_i \frac{D[q_{4+i}, q_{13}, q_{12}]}{D[q_4, q_{13}, q_{12}]}, \quad \frac{1}{a_{i2}} = k_i \mu_i \frac{D[q_{23}, q_{4+i}, q_{12}]}{D[q_{23}, q_4, q_{12}]}, \quad \frac{1}{a_{i3}} = k_i \mu_i \frac{D[q_{23}, q_{13}, q_{4+i}]}{D[q_{23}, q_{13}, q_4]},$$

Thus, by applying Proposition 4.4 to the azygetic 4-tuples of the two Aronhold systems:

$$\{q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5, q_6, q_7\} \quad \{q_{23}, q_{13}, q_{12}, q_4, q_5, q_6, q_7\}$$

one gets an explicit expression for the square power of the constant factor in terms of theta constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i^2 &= \frac{1}{k_i} \frac{D[q_4, q_2, q_3] D[q_4, q_{13}, q_{12}]}{D[q_{4+i}, q_2, q_3] D[q_{4+i}, q_{13}, q_{12}]} \\ &= \frac{1}{k_i} e((q_4 + q_{4+i}) \cdot (q_4 + q_5 + q_6 + q_7)) \frac{\theta^2(q_{4+i} + q_r + q_s)}{\theta^2(q_4 + q_r + q_s)} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \end{aligned}$$

where r and s are such that $\{4 + i, r, s\} = \{5, 6, 7\}$. Therefore, by replacing this expression into (19) one finally has:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= -\epsilon_i e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{i}(q_4 + q_{4+i}) \cdot (q_4 + q_5 + q_6 + q_7)} e((q_j + q_r + q_s) \cdot (q_4 + q_{4+i})) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\theta(q_4 + q_r + q_j) \theta(q_4 + q_s + q_j)}{\theta(q_{4+i} + q_r + q_j) \theta(q_{4+i} + q_s + q_j)} \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ_i is a fixed root of $1/k_i$ for any $i = 1, 2, 3$, and $-e((q_r + q_s) \cdot (q_4 + q_{4+i}))$ is a sign that can be absorbed into the definition of the root, as it only depends on the index i . This proves the statement.

The following corollary follows as a straightforward consequence:

Corollary 4.7. — *By setting in Weber's formula:*

$$\eta_i := \epsilon_i e^{\frac{\pi}{2} \mathbf{i}(q_4 + q_{4+i}) \cdot (q_4 + q_5 + q_6 + q_7)} \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

where ϵ_i is a chosen sign that only depends on i , the corresponding choice of representatives for the points $(a_{i1} : a_{i2} : a_{i3})$ in \mathbb{P}^2 for $i = 1, 2, 3$ is such that $(k_1, k_2, k_3) = (1, 1, 1)$ is the unique solution of (14).

Proof. — By the proof of Weber's formula one has $\eta_i = \sigma_i e^{\frac{\pi}{2}i(q_4+q_4+i) \cdot (q_4+q_5+q_6+q_7)}$ where σ_i is a non-zero factor that reduces to a sign for each $i = 1, 2, 3$ if and only if $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = 1$.

As an example, we can fix a system of coordinates for the quadratic forms as in (3) and consider the following Aronhold system in terms of reduced characteristics:

$$n_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix}; n_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}; n_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}; n_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}; n_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}; n_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix}; n_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix};$$

Then, we can apply Weber's formula with the choice made in Corollary 4.7 and compute the reduction signs (see Remark 4.6):

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{11} &= +1; & \rho_{21} &= +1; & \rho_{31} &= +1; \\ \rho_{12} &= +1; & \rho_{22} &= +1; & \rho_{32} &= +1; \\ \rho_{13} &= +1; & \rho_{23} &= -1; & \rho_{33} &= -1; \end{aligned}$$

so as to obtain Weber's result (cf. [16]):

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= \epsilon_1 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{21} &= \epsilon_2 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{31} &= -\epsilon_3 \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}}; \\ a_{12} &= \epsilon_1 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{22} &= \epsilon_2 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{32} &= \epsilon_3 \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix}}; \\ a_{13} &= \epsilon_1 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{23} &= \epsilon_2 \mathbf{i} \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}}; & a_{33} &= \epsilon_3 \frac{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 000 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 000 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix}}{\theta \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}}; \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.8. — The formula in (19) for the Aronhold system (12) is in accordance with the modular description of the universal matrix of bitangents obtained in [3]. If a system of coordinates for the quadratic forms is fixed as in (3), an Aronhold system q_1, \dots, q_7 such that $q_0 = \sum_{i=1}^7 q_i$ is given by:

$$n_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 111 \\ 111 \end{bmatrix}; n_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 110 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}; n_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 101 \\ 001 \end{bmatrix}; n_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 110 \end{bmatrix}; n_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 010 \\ 011 \end{bmatrix}; n_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 001 \\ 101 \end{bmatrix}; n_7 = \begin{bmatrix} 011 \\ 010 \end{bmatrix};$$

Then the first row of the universal bitangent matrix (cf. [3]) gives the following modular expressions for the corresponding bitangents:

$$\beta_1 : D[n_1 + n_4, n_1 + n_2, n_1 + n_3] \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta_{n_1}}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} Z_j = 0$$

$$\beta_2 : D[n_2 + n_4, n_1 + n_2, n_2 + n_3] \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta_{n_2}}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} Z_j = 0$$

$$\beta_3 : D[n_1, n_2, n_4] \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta_{n_3}}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} Z_j = 0$$

$$\beta_i : D[n_1, n_2, n_3] \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\partial \theta_{n_i}}{\partial Z_j} \Big|_{z=0} Z_j = 0 \quad i = 4, 5, 6, 7$$

where, as above, $D[n_i, n_j, n_k] := \text{grad}_z^0 \theta_{n_i} \text{grad}_z^0 \theta_{n_j} \text{grad}_z^0 \theta_{n_k}$. A straightforward computation shows that the ordered collection of bitangents β_1, \dots, β_7 given by Weber's formula is sent to the ordered collection β_1, \dots, β_7 by the projective transformation $\phi : \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, defined by the matrix:

$$A_\phi := \begin{pmatrix} D[n_4, n_2, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_1}}{\partial Z_1} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_4, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_2}}{\partial Z_1} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_2, n_4] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_3}}{\partial Z_1} \Big|_{z=0} \\ D[n_4, n_2, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_1}}{\partial Z_2} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_4, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_2}}{\partial Z_2} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_2, n_4] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_3}}{\partial Z_2} \Big|_{z=0} \\ D[n_4, n_2, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_1}}{\partial Z_3} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_4, n_3] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_2}}{\partial Z_3} \Big|_{z=0} & D[n_1, n_2, n_4] \frac{\partial \theta_{n_3}}{\partial Z_3} \Big|_{z=0} \end{pmatrix}$$

References

- [1] E. ARBARELLO, M. CORNALBA, P. A. GRIFFITHS & J. HARRIS, *Geometry of algebraic curves*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 267, Springer, 1985.
- [2] L. CAPORASO & E. SERNESI, "Recovering plane curves from their bitangents", *J. Algebr. Geom.* **12** (2003), no. 2, p. 225-244.
- [3] F. DALLA PIAZZA, A. FIORENTINO & R. SALVATI MANNI, "Plane quartics: the universal matrix of bitangents", *Isr. J. Math.* **217** (2017), p. 111-138.
- [4] I. V. DOLGACHEV, *Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view*, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [5] J. FAY, "On the Riemann–Jacobi formula", *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Gött.* **1979** (1979), p. 61-73.
- [6] G. FROBENIUS, "Über die constanten Factoren der Thetareihen", *Kronecker J.* **98** (1885), p. 244-263.
- [7] P. A. GRIFFITHS & J. HARRIS, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, 1978.
- [8] B. H. GROSS & J. HARRIS, "On some geometric constructions related to theta characteristics", in *Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory*, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004, p. 279-311.
- [9] J. GUÀRDIA, "Jacobian Nullwerte and algebraic equations", *J. Algebra* **253** (2002), no. 1, p. 112-132.

-
- [10] ———, "On the Torelli problem and Jacobian Nullwerte in genus three", *Mich. Math. J.* **60** (2011), no. 1, p. 51-65.
- [11] J.-I. IGUSA, *Theta functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 194, Springer, 1972.
- [12] ———, "Multiplicity one theorem and problems related to Jacobi's formula", *Am. J. Math.* **105** (1983), p. 157-187.
- [13] D. LEHAVI, "Any smooth plane quartic can be reconstructed from its bitangents", *Isr. J. Math.* **146** (2005), p. 371-379.
- [14] E. NART & C. RITZENTHALER, "A new proof of a Thomae-like formula for non hyperelliptic genus 3 curves", in *Arithmetic, geometry, cryptography and coding theory*, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 686, American Mathematical Society, 2017, p. 137-155.
- [15] H. E. RAUCH & H. M. FARKAS, *Theta functions with applications to Riemann surfaces*, The Williams & Wilkins Company, 1974.
- [16] H. WEBER, *Theorie der Abel'schen Functionen vom Geschlecht 3*, Druck und Verlag von Georg Reimer, 1876.

April 24, 2018

ALESSIO FIORENTINO, Institut de recherche mathématique de Rennes - IRMAR, Université de Rennes 1
E-mail : fiorentinoalessio82@gmail.com